

EXECUTIVE MEETING ON 13 FEBRUARY 2024



DECISION SHEETS

Record of decisions made by the Executive pursuant to Regulation 12 of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Date of publication: 13 February 2024

** Executive decisions will not be implemented until the expiry of 5 working days to take account of the Call-In procedure.*

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
8	Harlow and Gilston Garden Town: Strategic Economic	a) That the findings of the HGGT Strategic Economic Framework, as set out in	The draft Harlow and Gilston Garden Town (HGGT) Strategic Economic Framework	The alternative to the recommendation proposed in this	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
	Framework	<p>Appendix 1, be endorsed;</p> <p>b) That subject to all authorities approving the HGGT Strategic Economic Framework, the Framework is supported and agreed and will form the basis of the approach to economic development in the Garden Town area; and</p> <p>c) That it delegates to</p>	(SEF) outlines a 'road map' for economic growth within the Garden Town area over the next 20 years.	report is to not endorse the SEF or rely upon its proposed actions to secure economic growth in the Garden Town area. The implication of this approach is that East Herts would be working in isolation from the Garden Town partners in seeking to secure job roles and other opportunities for new residents of	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
		<p>the Chief Executive, in consultation with the Leader of the Council and the Executive Member for Planning and Growth, to make any necessary, non-consequential and minor amendments and refer those amendments back to the HGGT Chair and Director.</p>		<p>the area.</p> <p>Whilst the ability of all the partners to resource work of this nature is going to be limited, a separate approach is likely to further minimise the potential to achieve substantial and positive outputs in respect of this matter. This alternative option is not recommended.</p>	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
11	East Herts Air Quality Action Plan	<p>a) consider and approve the draft Air Quality Action Plan found in Appendix A;</p> <p>b) approve that the Executive Member for Sustainability may submit the draft Air Quality Action Plan to the Department for Environment, Food and Rural</p>		<p>Within the context of continuing financial pressures on the council, scale back or cease work on air quality – NOT RECOMMENDED as the declaration of AQMAs requires the council to work with partners to reduce pollution levels. Arguably, only continued work to produce a refreshed Air Quality Action Plan</p>	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
		<p>Affairs (DEFRA) for their review and approval;</p> <p>c) delegate to the Head of Housing and Health, acting in consultation with the Executive Member for Sustainability, authority to make minor changes to the Air Quality Action Plan if</p>		<p>and seek external funding for projects will enable the council to play its part, alongside Hertfordshire County Council, national government and local communities and people, to build on the air quality gains seen to date.</p> <p>Adopt an ad hoc approach to working on air</p>	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
		<p>needed following submission to DEFRA for approval, with any changes with a financial implication for the council being brought to the Executive for consideration;</p> <p>d) delegate to the Executive Member for Sustainability</p>		<p>quality without renewing the Air Quality Action Plan – NOT RECOMMENDED as although this approach would likely see some benefits, the opportunities for joined-up working and the bringing together of actions could be lost.</p> <p>Adopt the proposed Air Quality Action Plan</p>	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
		<p>authority to adopt the final version of the Air Quality Action Plan on behalf of the council once it has been approved by DEFRA;</p> <p>e) delegate to the Head of Housing and Health, acting in consultation with the Executive</p>		<p>as discussed in this report and continue with joined-up actions – RECOMMENDED.</p>	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
		<p>Member for Sustainability, authority to add, amend or delete actions from the Air Quality Action Plan over the lifetime of the action plan so as to ensure that it remains current, reflecting the financial viability of projects and evolving best practice</p>			

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
12	Stanstead Abbots Car Park Charges	<p>a) To overrule twenty seven Traffic Regulation Order objections for the reasons set out in Appendix A and reintroduce parking charges.</p> <p>b) To authorise the Head of Legal and Democratic Services to publish a Notice of Making under</p>	<p>Subject to the Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 this report considers the twenty-seven objections received in response to the advertised Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) proposing to reintroduce car parking charges in Stanstead Abbots car park</p>	<p>Not Recommended: Maintain the current free parking arrangements.</p> <p>Recommended: Overrule the objections to enable the reintroduction of parking charges.</p>	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
		the Road Traffic Regulation Act to give effect to the introduction of the tariff structure as shown in Appendix C.			